Art Unit:

Examiner:

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In re application of:

Timothy W. CONNER et al.

Appln. No.: 09/540,234

Filed:

April 3, 2000

Title:

2003-1073 Appeal No.:

1631

Shubo Zhou

16517.326

Nucleic Acid Molecules and Other Molecules Associated with Plants

Request for Suspension of Appeal

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Appellant hereby requests that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences ("Board") suspend action in the above-captioned appeal. The issues presented in the appeal involve the utility of partial nucleic acid molecules, such as Expressed Sequence Tags (ESTs), under 35 U.S.C. §§ 101 and 112 and the sufficiency of the written description of these sequences. An appeal involving the utility of partial nucleic acid molecules is currently pending before the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit ("Federal Circuit"). The sufficiency of the written description has been decided by the Board under similar circumstances as the present appeal.

On July 3, 2002 Appellant filed a Notice of Appeal in the above-captioned matter. Thereafter, Appellant filed an Appeal Brief with the Patent Office on October 3, 2002 and waived oral hearing on July 22, 2004.

On May 27, 2004, the Real Party in Interest in the above-captioned matter filed an appeal to the Federal Circuit in In re Fisher (U.S. Appln No. 09/619,643, B.P.A.I. Appeal

I hereby certify that the foregoing Request for Suspension of Appeal is being facsimile transmitted to the attention of the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, via facsimile number (703) 30B-6200, and to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office central facsimale number (703) 872-9306.

Thomas E. Holsten (Rcg. No. 46,098)

August 20_2004

Date

Rejections for the lack of sufficiency of the written description, have repeatedly been reversed by the Board in similar cases. See, Appeal Nos. 2003-1137, 2003-0996, 2003-1504, 2003-1746 and 2002-2046.

Timothy W. CONNER et al. Ser. No. 09/540,234 Appeal No. 2003-1073 Page 2

No. 2002-2046) presenting the issue of the utility of partial nucleic acid molecules, such as ESTs under 35 U.S.C. §§ 101 and 112.²

The Office has stated that postponing consideration of an appeal until the Board has the benefit of a Federal Circuit decision, which may be determinative of an issue in the appeal, is sound practice. See e.g., M.P.E.P. §1213, 8th Edition, Revision No. 2, at page 1200-31.

For these reasons, Appellant hereby requests that the Board suspend consideration of the present appeal until the Federal Circuit provides guidance on the issue of the utility of partial nucleic acid molecules, such as ESTs. Should the Commissioner require additional information, he is invited to contact the undersigned at the number provided below.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: August 20, 2004

į.

Thomas E. Holsten (Reg. No. 46,098)
David R. Marsh (Reg. No. 41,408)
ARNOLD & PORTER LLP

Of Counsel Lawrence M. Lavin, Jr. (Reg. No. 30,768) Thomas E. Kelley (Reg. No. 29,938) Monsanto Company

Correspondence Address:
Monsanto Company
800 N. Lindbergh Boulevard
Mailzone E2NA
St. Louis, Missouri 63167
Tel: (314) 694-1000
Fax: (314) 694-9009

² The Board has upheld Examiners' utility rejections in similar appeals. See, e.g. Appeal Nos. 2003-1137, 2003-0996, 2003-1504, 2003-1746 and 2002-2046.